

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. •	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/848,931	05/19/2004	05/19/2004 Oleg B. Rashkovskiy		5716
21906 TROP PRUNE	7590 01/08/200 R & HU, PC	EXAMINER		
1616 S. VOSS	ROAD, SUITE 750	BUI, KIEU OANH T		
HOUSTON, T	X //05/-2631		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary			Application No.		Applicant(s)		
			10/848,931		RASHKOVSKIY ET AL.		
			Examiner		Art Unit		
			KIEU-OANH	BUI	2623		
The MA Period for Reply	NLING DATE of this commu	nication appe	ears on the co	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress	
WHICHEVER - Extensions of tim after SIX (6) MOI - If NO period for re - Failure to reply w Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD F IS LONGER, FROM THE M e may be available under the provision ITHS from the mailing date of this com eply is specified above, the maximum s tithin the set or extended period for repl d by the Office later than three months m adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136( munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, ca	TE OF THIS  (a). In no event, I  apply and will execuse the application	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be timpire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed he mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status							
2a)☐ This act 3)☐ Since th	sive to communication(s) file ion is <b>FINAL</b> . is application is in condition accordance with the pract	2b)⊠ This a for allowanc	action is non- ce except for	formal matters, pro		e merits is	
Disposition of CI	aims						
4a) Of th 5)	1-4,6-19 and 31-47 is/are e above claim(s) is/a   is/are allowed.   1-4,6-19 and 31-47 is/are   is/are objected to.   are subject to restri	are withdrawr	n from consi	deration.			
Application Pape	rs						
10)∭ The drav Applican Replacer	cification is objected to by the ving(s) filed on is/are that any objected that any objected the declaration is objected the content of	: ˌa)□ accepection to the draggethe correction	oted or b) rawing(s) be h on is required i	eld in abeyance. Seef the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl		
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Refere	nces Cited (PTO-892)		4)	☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
2) 🔲 Notice of Drafts	person's Patent Drawing Review (I losure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		5)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te		

10/848,931 Art Unit: 2623

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Double Patenting

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

2. Claims 1-4, 6-19, and 31-47 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 20-49 of copending Application No. 09/561,443 ('443).

This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

For claim 31 (system), this claim is a combination of claim 20 and 28 of '443 by cooperating an interruptible content portion.

For claim 32, this feature is called for in the '443 application claim 21.

For claim 33, this claim is identical to the '443 application claim 22.

For claim 34, this claim is identical to the '443 application claim 23.

10/848,931

Art Unit: 2623

For claim 35, this claim is identical to the '443 application claim 24.

For claim 36, this claim is identical to the '443 application claim 25.

For claim 37, this claim is identical to the '443 application claim 26.

For claim 38, this claim is identical to the '443 application claim 27.

For claim 39, this claim is identical to the '443 application claim 28.

For claim 40, this claim is identical to the '443 application claim 29.

For claim 41, this claim is identical to the '443 application claim 30.

For claim 42, this claim is identical to the '443 application claim 31.

For claim 43, this claim is identical to the '443 application claim 32.

For claims 44-47, these claims are derived from the '443 application claims 33-35 since the user can access and control the displaying content in a similar manner as described therein.

Regarding claims 1-4, 6-10 and 11-19, these claims for a corresponding method and a medium for storing instructions, if executed, enable a processor based system to perform steps as in claims 31-47, are rejected in the scope of claims 31-47 as noted earlier.

## Conclusion

#### 3. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

#### or faxed to PTO New Central Fax number:

(571) 273-8300, (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand deliveries must be made to Customer Service Window, Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314. Application/Control Number:

10/848,931

Art Unit: 2623

Page 4

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Krista Kieu-Oanh Bui whose telephone number is (571) 272-7291. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John W. Miller, can be reached at (571) 272-7353.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kieu-Oanh Bui Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2623

KB

January 04, 2008